

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,961	12/30/2004	Toshirou Kisakibaru	2471/109	5432
2101 7590 05/15/2007 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/519,961	KISAKIBARU, TOSHIROU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
cooking attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🖾 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🧮 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/519,961

Art Unit: 1724

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology "comprises" is used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taub (5,795,369).

Taub discloses an air purifying device (300) comprising a hollow cylindrical filter (302) made by forming a filter media (326) in a cylindrical shape having an air passage in the central hollow portion, an intake member (304) having an intake hole (318) on the end of the cylindrical filter (302) so that the hole communicates with the air passage (see Figs. 6 & 7, col. 9, line 59 through col. 10, line 21). The cylindrical filter (302) further has a cap (330) fixed on the end of the hollow cylindrical filter (302). Taub further discloses a supporting rod (46) with which the intake member and the cap are coupled at both ends of the supporting rod (46) in the air passage (see 46 in Fig. 2, col. 7, line 60 through col. 8, line 12). Taub also discloses the air intake member (304) constructed as a box having a passage for air inside it and having holes to be inserted of each of the cylindrical filter (see Fig. 6), and mulitiple hollow cylindrical filter (302) positioned in parallel and each being made by forming a filter media (326) in a

Application/Control Number: 10/519,961

Art Unit: 1724

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology "comprises" is used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taub (5,795,369).

Taub discloses an air purifying device (300) comprising a hollow cylindrical filter (302) made by forming a filter media (326) in a cylindrical shape having an air passage in the central hollow portion, an intake member (304) having an intake hole (318) on the end of the cylindrical filter (302) so that the hole communicates with the air passage (see Figs. 6 & 7, col. 9, line 59 through col. 10, line 21). The cylindrical filter (302) further has a cap (330) fixed on the end of the hollow cylindrical filter (302). Taub further discloses a supporting rod (46) with which the intake member and the cap are coupled at both ends of the supporting rod (46) in the air passage (see 46 in Fig. 2, col. 7, line 60 through col. 8, line 12). Taub also discloses the air intake member (304) constructed as a box having a passage for air inside it and having holes to be inserted of each of the cylindrical filter (see Fig. 6), and mulitiple hollow cylindrical filter (302) positioned in parallel and each being made by forming a filter media (326) in a

Page 3

cylindrical shape having an air passage in the central hollow portion. Claims 1-8, 19 and 20 differ from the disclosure of Taub in that the device has two air intake members instead of only one. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two air intake members, each at each end of the filter element, instead of only one, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. <u>St. Regis Paper</u> Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taub (5,795,369), as applied supra, in view of the Japanese reference (JP 57-134520).

Claims 9-18 call for the casing having a slit with guide plates furnished on both sides of the slit. The Japanese reference discloses an air purifying device (1) with an air intake (2) comprising a slit (3) with guide plates furnished on both sides of the slit (3) (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a slit with guide plates as taught by the Japanese reference in the air purifying device of Taub since the configuration of the slit with guide plates would provide uniform distribution of the air flow therethrough. Claims 11-18 call for two slits instead of one. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two slits, instead of one slit, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Page 3

cylindrical shape having an air passage in the central hollow portion. Claims 1-8, 19 and 20 differ from the disclosure of Taub in that the device has two air intake members instead of only one. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two air intake members, each at each end of the filter element, instead of only one, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. <u>St. Regis Paper</u> <u>Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.</u>

Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taub (5,795,369), as applied supra, in view of the Japanese reference (JP 57-134520).

Claims 9-18 call for the casing having a slit with guide plates furnished on both sides of the slit. The Japanese reference discloses an air purifying device (1) with an air intake (2) comprising a slit (3) with guide plates furnished on both sides of the slit (3) (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a slit with guide plates as taught by the Japanese reference in the air purifying device of Taub since the configuration of the slit with guide plates would provide uniform distribution of the air flow therethrough. Claims 11-18 call for two slits instead of one. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two slits, instead of one slit, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. <u>St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193</u>
<u>USPQ 8</u>.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724 May 11, 2007